

Localization of Wearable Users Using Invisible Retro-reflective Markers and an IR Camera

Yusuke Nakazato, Masayuki Kanbara and Naokazu Yokoya

Graduate School of Information Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology,
8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara, 630-0192, Japan

ABSTRACT

This paper describes a localization method for wearable computer users. To realize applications of wearable computers like a navigation system, the position of a user is required for location-based services. Many localization methods in indoor environments have been proposed. One of the methods estimates user's position using IR beacons or visual markers. However, these methods have same problems concerning power supply and/or undesirable visual effects. In order to avoid the problems, we propose a new localization method which is based on using an IR camera and invisible markers consisting of translucent retro-reflectors. In the proposed method, to extract the regions of the markers from the captured images stably, the camera captures the reflection of IR LEDs which are flashed on and off synchronously.

Keywords: Retro-reflective Marker, IR Camera, Localization, Wearable Computer

1. INTRODUCTION

Since computers have made remarkable progress in recent years, a wearable computer can be realized¹. At the same time, the augmented reality (AR) technique which merges the real and virtual worlds has received a great deal of attention as a new method for displaying location-based information in the real world²⁻⁴. Therefore, AR systems using wearable computers like navigation systems⁵⁻⁸ are proposed. To realize an AR system using wearable computer, the exact position and orientation of a user are required. Especially in indoor environments, since a GPS can not be used, many localization methods have been proposed^{9,10}. One of the methods estimates the user's position using infrared markers^{11,12}. The methods specify the user's position using the position IDs received from IrDA markers which compose positioning infrastructures. Tenmoku, et al¹¹ have estimated user's position using infrared markers and pedometer, and the orientation of the user by gyro sensor. Maeda, et al¹² developed a hybrid tracking system that estimates more exactly the position and orientation of the user by combining a gyro sensor with a stereo camera which captured infrared markers. Another method estimated the user's position by recognizing the visual markers which are pasted up on the ceilings or walls^{13,14}. Baratoff, et al¹³ used ARToolKit¹⁵ square markers, as shown Figure 1(a). Naimark, et al¹⁴ developed a system that estimates more stably the position and orientation of the user by combining an accelerometer with a camera which captured circular markers as shown Figure 1(b). However, these methods have some problems concerning power supply of positioning infrastructure and/or undesirable visual effects.

In order not to impair the scenery, we propose a new localization method using invisible markers that consist of translucent retro-reflectors. In the proposed method, translucent retro-reflective markers, which are invisible and do not need power supply, are captured by an IR camera. In order to avoid the influence of infrared other than the reflection from retro-reflective markers, infrared LEDs are flashed on and off continuously. Thus, the images containing the markers are captured synchronized with it. The regions of the markers are robustly extracted from the difference between images as LED on and off. Herewith, the position of a user can be estimated without power supply of infrastructures and undesirable visual effects in the real scene.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes a localization system using invisible markers and IR camera. In Section 3, experimental results with a prototype system are described. Finally, Section 4 describes conclusion and future work.

Further author information: (Send correspondence to Y. N.)

Y. N.: E-mail: yuus-n@is.naist.jp, Telephone: +81 743 72 5296

M. K.: E-mail: kanbara@is.naist.jp, Telephone: +81 743 72 5292

N. Y.: E-mail: yokoya@is.naist.jp, Telephone: +81 743 72 5290



(a) Augmented reality system using square markers (Baratoff, et al¹³).



(b) Localization system using camera and accelerometer (Naimark, et al¹⁴).

Figure 1. Localization methods using visual markers.

2. USER LOCALIZATION USING INVISIBLE MARKERS

In this section, our proposed localization system is described in detail. In Section 2.1, the invisible markers are described. Section 2.2 discusses marker patterns, and finally Section 2.3 explains a method for estimation of position and orientation.

2.1. Invisible Markers

The markers are set up on the ceilings or walls in indoor environments as infrastructures. When visual markers are set up on the ceilings, the markers impair the scenery as shown in Figure 2(a). Figure 2(b) shows the scene where invisible markers consisting of translucent retro-reflectors are set up. Since the markers are translucent, it is difficult for a user to observe the markers. However, when the image is captured with a flash, the markers can be clearly observed as shown in Figure 2(c). Because the retro-reflector reflects a light toward a light source, its reflection can be captured clearly by the camera which is located near the flashing light.



(a) Visual markers.

(b) Invisible markers.

(c) Invisible markers with a flash.

Figure 2. Markers of positioning infrastructures.




Figure 3. Instances of markers ($N = 4$) (the black part illustrates a retro-reflector).

2.2. Pattern of markers

Figure 3 illustrates instances of marker patterns. In this figure, the black part illustrates the retro-reflector, and the marker has a square frame. To generate a marker pattern, the inside of the frame contains evenly spaced $N \times N$ grid points, and small squares are allocated on the grids. To determine the direction of the marker uniquely, one small square is always allocated to one of four corners of $N \times N$ grids, and other three corners are blank. Therefore, the total number of IDs associated the patterns is 2^{N^2-4} .

2.3. Estimation of position and orientation

Figure 4 illustrates the overview of the proposed localization system. Invisible markers consisting of translucent retro-reflectors are set up on the ceilings or walls. The user equips the head with an infrared camera shown in Figure 5 upward for capturing images. The camera captures the reflection of the infrared LEDs that are attached to it. The reflection of retro-reflector can be captured clearly by the infrared camera.




Figure 4. Overview of localization system.




Figure 5. Infrared camera and infrared LEDs.




Figure 6. Flow diagram of capturing markers.


However, the camera also captures lights other than the infrared LEDs; for examples, fluorescent and sun light. To avoid such a problem, infrared LEDs are flashed on and off synchronously under control of a wearable computer as shown in Figure 6. In the image without infrared light from the infrared LEDs, invisible markers are not captured. On the other hand, in the image with infrared light from the infrared LEDs, these are clearly captured. By calculating the difference between the images with and without infrared light from the LEDs, the influence of infrared other than the reflection from retro-reflective markers can be eliminated. From the subtraction images, the regions of the markers are extracted, and IDs associated with the markers are recognized. To extract the regions of markers, ARToolKit¹⁵ can be used. In addition to identifying the received marker, it is possible to estimate the relative position and orientation of camera with respect to the marker coordinate system from the four vertices of a square marker of known size using a standard computer vision technique.

3. EXPERIMENTS


We have carried out experiments with the proposed localization system. View angle of the infrared camera shown in Figure 5 is 92.6°, and six infrared LEDs are attached around the camera. We made a circuit which controls the LEDs using RS-232C serial communication with a PC. The used PC is a mobile computer “InterLink MP-XP7310 (Pentium M 1GHz)”, and the input image size is 320 × 240 pixels. The distance between the camera and the ceilings is about 120cm. In Section 3.1, recognition results of invisible markers are described. Section 3.2 gives experimental results about accuracy of the localization.

3.1. Results of marker recognition

In this experiment, we confirm that the proposed system recognizes position IDs from the markers without power supply of infrastructures and undesirable visual effects in the real scene. When the infrared LEDs are switch-off, retro-reflective markers are not captured as shown in Figure 7(a). On the other hand, when infrared LEDs are switch-on, the markers are clearly captured as shown in Figure 7(b). Figure 8 shows results of marker recognition. These images were generated by recognizing subtraction images between Figures 7(a) and 7(b). Note that the position IDs associated with the markers, and cones representing the direction of user are superimposed on the subtraction images. We confirm that the ID of marker can be recognized. The system can calculate the relative position and direction of camera with respect to the marker coordinate system. The processing rate is about 15 frames per second, because two images when the infrared LEDs are switch-on and switch-off are required for recognition.



(a) Captured images when infrared LEDs are switch-off.



(b) Captured images when infrared LEDs are switch-on.

Figure 7. Images obtained by infrared camera.




Figure 8. Results of marker recognition.




Figure 9. Layout of markers.

3.2. Accuracy evaluation of localization results

In this experiment, we evaluate accuracy of the proposed system. The markers are set up on the ceilings, as shown in Figure 9. We employ two different size markers to recognize the markers even if the distance between a camera and markers is changed. The edge length of the small marker is 16cm and the size of its square element allocated on the grids is $1\text{cm} \times 1\text{cm}$. On the other hand, the large marker's edge length is 28cm, and the size of its square element is $2\text{cm} \times 2\text{cm}$. The both markers' frame width is 1cm. The number of grids N in the marker pattern is 4 as in Figure 3.




Figure 10. Estimated camera position and orientation.

In this experiment, x - y plane represents the ceiling plane. And the z axis corresponds to the vertical direction. The $pitch$, $roll$ and yaw are the rotation angles of x , y , and z axis in the marker coordinate system, respectively. The distance between the camera and the ceiling is about 120cm, and the camera which looks toward the ceiling, moves to (60,300,120) from (60,20,120) in parallel to the ceiling. Figure 10 illustrates estimated camera position and orientation when the camera translates. Figures 11 and 12 show the error of estimated position and orientation, respectively. We can confirm from the experiment that the error of estimated camera position and orientation are at most about 20cm and 10° , respectively. The average of estimated position and orientation errors were about 6cm and 3.3° , respectively. We conclude that the accuracy of estimated camera position is comparable with the case of using conventional visual markers.




Figure 11. Error of estimated camera position.




Figure 12. Error of estimated camera orientation.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a new localization method using invisible markers and infrared camera. In our method, user's position is identified by recognizing translucent retro-reflective markers which are illuminated from infrared LEDs. In order to eliminate the influence of infrared other than the reflection from retro-reflective markers, the infrared LEDs are flashed on and off synchronously with image capturing.

In the experiments, we have confirmed that the accuracy of estimated camera position and orientation are comparable with the case of using conventional visual markers. In future work, we should carry out experiments at the extensive indoor environments. We will also improve the accuracy by considering the reliability of the marker's position on a captured image.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) Program "Advanced Media Technology for Everyday Living" of Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST).

REFERENCES

1. S. Mann, "Wearable computing: A first step toward personal imaging," *IEEE Computer* **30**(2), pp. 25–32, 2002.
2. R. Azuma, "A survey of augmented reality," *Presence* **Vol. 6**(No. 4), pp. 355–385, 1997.
3. M. Kanbara, T. Okuma, H. Takemura, and N. Yokoya, "A stereoscopic video see-through augmented reality system based on real-time vision-based registration," *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Virtual Reality 2000*, pp. 255–262, 2000.
4. S. Julier, M. Lanzagorta, Y. Baillet, L. Rosenblum, S. Feiner, T. Höller, and S. Sestito, "Information filtering for mobile augmented reality," *Proc. 1st IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Augmented Reality*, pp. 3–11, 2000.
5. H
6. R. Malaka and A. Zipf, "Deep map - challenging it research in the framework of a tourist information system," *Proc. 7th Int. Congress on Tourism and Comm.*, pp. 15–27, 2000.
7. P. Daehne and J. Karigiannis, "Archeoguide: System architecture of a mobile outdoor augmented reality system," *Proc. 1st IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, pp. 263–264, 2002.
8. R. Tenmoku, Y. Nakazato, A. Anabuki, M. Kanbara, and N. Yokoya, "Nara palace site navigator: Device-independent human navigation using a networked shared database," *Proc. 10th Int. Conf. on Virtual Systems and Multimedia*, pp. 1234–1242, 2004.
9. M. Kouroggi and T. Kurata, "Personal positioning based on walking locomotion analysis with self-contained sensors and wearable camera," *Proc. 2nd IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, pp. 103–112, 2003.
10. L. Vacchetti, V. Lepetit, and P. Fua, "Combining edge and texture information for real-time accurate 3d camera tracking," *Proc. 3rd IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, pp. 48–57, 2004.
11. R. Tenmoku, M. Kanbara, and N. Yokoya, "A wearable augmented reality system using positioning infrastructures and a pedometer," *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Wearable Computers*, pp. 110–117, 2003.
12. M. Maeda, T. Ogawa, K. Kiyokawa, and H. Takemura, "Tracking of user position and orientation by stereo measurement of infrared markers and orientation sensing," *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. on Wearable Computers*, pp. 77–84, 2004.
13. G. Baratoff, A. Neubeck, and H. Regenbrecht, "Interactive multi-marker calibration for augmented reality applications," *Proc. 1st IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, pp. 107–116, 2002.
14. L. Naimark and E. Foxlin, "Circular data matrix fiducial system and robust image processing for a wearable vision-inertial self-tracker," *Proc. 1st IEEE/ACM Int. Symp. on Mixed and Augmented Reality*, pp. 27–36, 2002.
15. H. Kato and H. Billinghurst, "Marker tracking and hmd calibration for a video-based augmented reality conferencing system," *Proc. 2nd IEEE/ACM Int. Workshop on Augmented Reality*, pp. 85–94, 1999.